REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendments to allowed claims 54, 61 and 68 merely correct minor matters

of form. The amendment to claim 54 removes a duplicate used of "the second" in line 11 of that

claim. The amendment to claim 61 merely inserts "a" before "second input terminal" in line 4 of

that claim to proper establish antecedent basis for the second input terminal. A similar

amendment to the claim 61 amendment is also made in claim 68. Claim 68 is further amended to

insert that claims preamble, which was inadvertently omitted in the preliminary amendment filed

with the application. It is clear from the dependent claims of claim 68 that claim 68 is intended

to be directed to a circuit.

These minor amendments do not warrant reopening prosecution on the merits and doe not

add any new matter. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request entry of these minor

amendments.

Respectfully Submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: <u>Sep. 28, 2004</u>

By: Jul w-las

Paul W. Churilla

Reg. No. 47,495

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 913-0001 12